### 1 COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS 2 WORCESTER, SS. SUPERIOR COURT CRIMINAL ACTION 3 NO. 93-0135 4 COMMONWEALTH 5 MOTION TO VACATE V . 6 STAY OF EXECUTION MICHAEL ELBERY 7 8 BEFORE: THE HON. DANIEL F. TOOMEY 9 10 APPEARANCES 11 FOR THE COMMONWEALTH: MICHAEL BALL, 12 ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY 13 FOR THE DEFENDANT: SHEKETOFF & HOMAN 14 84 STATE STREET BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109 1.5 BY: ROBERT L. SHEKETOFF, ESQ. 16 17 18 WORCESTER SUPERIOR COURT 19 WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS AUGUST 10, 1994 20 RM. 204 2 1 22 JANE ELIZABETH ESPOSITO 23 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

# $\underline{I}$ $\underline{N}$ $\underline{D}$ $\underline{E}$ $\underline{X}$

2

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1 1

1 2

13

1 4

1 5

1 6

1 7

18 19

20

2 1

2 2

23

24

PAGE

MOTION TO VACATE STAY OF EXECUTION

3

2 4

1

3

4

(12:10 P.M.)

(AUGUST 10,1 994)

# MOTION TO VACATE STAY OF EXECUTION:

THE CLERK: MAY WE HAVE MR.

ELBERY, MR. BALL AND MR. SHEKETOFF.

THE COURT: MR. ELBERY IS IN THE COURTROOM. MR. SHEKETOFF IS HERE.

THE CLERK: MAY THE RECORD REVEAL THAT ON INDICTMENT 93-0135, TWO INDICTMENTS PRESENTING, IN ORDER, ASSAULT WITH INTENT TO MAIM, DISORDERLY PERSON, AND A THIRD MATTER, ASSAULT AND BATTERY, ON JULY 15, 1993, ON THIS MATTER, YOUR HONOR IMPOSED A SENTENCE. ON JULY 2ND, A VERDICT OF GUILTY WAS RETURNED BY A WORCESTER COUNTY JURY OF GUILTY ON ALL THREE MATTERS.

THE MATTER IS HERE FOR DISPOSITION. ON AUGUST 8TH, BAIL WAS REVOKED. THE DEFENDANT WAS HELD ON A THOUSAND DOLLARS CASH. HELD FOR HEARING THIS DAY.

	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
)	1 2
	1 3
	14
	15
	16
	1 7
	18
	19
	20
	2 1
	2 2
	23
	2 4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

MR.	ELBERY	IS	HERE,	REPRESENTED	ВЧ
COUNSEL.					

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

MR. BALL.

MR. BALL: YOUR HONOR, I ASKED THE CASE BE HERE TODAY FOR A MOTION TO VACATE STAY OF EXECUTION. THE COURT COMMITTED MR. ELBERY BACK IN AUGUST OF 1993. THE REASON FOR THAT BEING, ON AUGUST 5TH OF 1994, A STORAGE COMPARTMENT THAT MR. ELBERY RENTED IN SHREWSBURY, MASSACHUSETTS WAS THE SUBJECT OF A SEARCH WARRANT.

THE SHREWSBURY POLICE DEPARTMENT DISCOVERED IN THAT STORAGE AREA FIVE GUNS, A KNIFE, AN UZI, THREE A.K. FORTY-SEVENS, A SHOTGUN, A REMMINGTON EIGHT SEVENTY TWELVE GAUGE SHOTGUN, ALONG WITH AMMUNITION.

MR. ELBERY WAS ARRESTED FOR THOSE OFFENSES; AND BECAUSE OF THAT, I WOULD ASK THE COURT TO VACATE THE STAY OF EXECUTION.

THE COURT: MR. BALL, IS THERE ANY

040
255-6
800-2
7
30UF
Y GF

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
1 1
1 2
13
1 4
1 5
16
1 7
18
19
2 0
2 1
2 2
2 3
2 4

2

3

INDICATION	ТНАТ	MR.	ELBERY	HAD	ANY	
CONNECTION	WITH	ТНАТ	RENTAL	. PRO	PERT	Υ?
MD I			0 WW.T.			

MR. BALL: ALSO, WHILE THE POLICE -- WHAT OCCURRED WAS THERE WAS A FIRE AT THE --

THE COURT: WAS HE THE LESSEE?

MR. BALL: YES. I DON'T HAVE A COPY OF THE LEASE. HOWEVER, HE DID APPROACH THE OFFICERS THAT DAY THAT THEY WERE EXECUTING THE SEARCH WARRANT. THERE WAS ALSO A CORVETTE INSIDE. HE TOLD THEM THAT WAS HIS CORVETTE, AND IT WAS HIS STORAGE LOCKER, AND IT SHOULD BE LOCKED BEFORE THEY LEFT. THAT WAS PRIOR TO THEIR DISCOVERY OF THE GUNS.

THE COURT: AND, SIR, IS THERE ANY INDICATION WITH RESPECT TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE WEAPONS?

MR. BALL: AT THIS POINT, NO, JUDGE. THEY ARE BEING TRACKED BY THE FEDERAL AUTHORITIES.

THE COURT: NO INDICATION HE HAS A LICENSE FOR THEM?

MR. BALL: JUDGE, HE HAD A LICENSE

1.0

1 1

1.5

1 7

AT THE TIME, BUT THAT WAS REVOKED AS A RESULT OF THE FINDING IN THE CASE BEFORE THE COURT, BACK IN AUGUST OF 1993.

THE COURT: THANK YOU, SIR.

MR. SHEKETOFF.

MR. SHEKETOFF: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

DISTRICT COURT ON MONDAY, BAIL WAS SET
ON THE NEW CASE AT FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS
CASH. I ASKED FOR A BAIL APPEAL, NOT
BECAUSE I WANTED TO APPEAL THAT BAIL,
BUT BECAUSE I FELT -- THIS IS AFTER
CONSULTATION WITH MY CLIENT -- THAT IT
SHOULD COME BACK TO WORCESTER SUPERIOR
COURT. WE COULD HAVE MADE THE FIVE
THOUSAND DOLLARS CASH BAIL AND WALKED
OUT THE DOOR, BUT IT SEEMED OBVIOUS TO
ME AND MY CLIENT THAT WE SHOULD GET UP
TO WORCESTER SUPERIOR COURT SO IT WOULD
COME TO YOUR HONOR'S ATTENTION THAT HE

THE COURT: THE QUESTION OF BAIL

IS NOT IN FRONT OF ME. AS I UNDERSTAND,

8	
9	
10	
1 1	
1 2	
13	
1 4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
2 1	
2 2	
23	
2 4	

2

3

4

5

6

7

HE WITHDREW HIS REQUEST FOR BAIL

YESTERDAY. WHAT IS BEFORE ME IS THE

MOTION TO VACATE THE STAY OF EXECUTION

IN THE MAYHEM CASE.

MR. SHEKETOFF: EXACTLY, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SO TELL ME WHY MR.

BALL IS WRONG WHEN HE ASKES ME TO VACATE

THE STAY.

MR. SHEKETOFF: WELL, FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS, YOUR HONOR. FIRST OF ALL, AS A MATTER OF LAW, A LICENSE IS NOT REVOKED WHEN THERE IS A CONVICTION IN THE SUPERIOR COURT. THE LICENSING AUTHORITY HAS TO SEND NOTICE AND ACTUALLY NOTICE THE INDIVIDUAL. THAT WAS NOT DONE IN THIS CASE. SO HE HAS HAD AND HAS A FIREARM IDENTIFICATION CARD. THAT IS A VALID CARD, BECAUSE IT HAS NOT BEEN REVOKED.

SECONDLY, YOUR HONOR, THERE WAS A FIRE IN THIS EASY MART, OR WHATEVER IT'S CALLED, WHERE YOU CAN STORE VARIOUS ITEMS. THERE IS A LOCK ON INDIVIDUAL

1.0

STORAGE BINS, WHICH, FOR SOME REASON,

THE SHREWSBURY POLICE, BEFORE THEY GOT A

WARRANT, DECIDED THAT THEY COULD BREAK

AND GO INTO THAT STORAGE BIN. THEN THEY

SUPPOSEDLY MADE OBSERVATIONS, AND THEN

THEY GOT A WARRANT. THERE WAS NO FIRE

DAMAGE TO THIS STORAGE BIN. THERE WAS

NO EXCUSE FOR SNAPPING THE LOCK OFF THE

DOOR.

SO HE HAS --FIRST OF ALL, IT'S NOT

A CRIME IF HE HAD HIS F.I.D. CARD, AND

HE DOES. NUMBER TWO, THERE IS A SERIOUS

QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER THE SEARCH WAS

EVEN LAWFUL IN THIS CASE. I SUPPOSE,

FOR PURPOSES OF THE PENDING APPEAL, THE

FIRST ISSUE IS MUCH MORE IMPORTANT.

THE COURT: I THINK SO. I AM NOT

SO SURE ADDRESSING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY

OF THE SEARCH IS APPROPRIATE AT THIS

TIME; BUT YOUR POSITION AT THE MOMENT IS

THAT THE GENTLEMAN'S POSSESSION OF THE

WEAPONS, IF HE DID INDEED POSSESS THEM,

WAS PERMITTED.

MR. SHEKETOFF: BECAUSE HE HAD THE

5
6
7
8
9
10
1 1
12
13
1 4
15
16
1 7
18
19
20
2 1
2 2
23
2 4

2

3

(	СА	R	D	٠			Ι		D	0	N	,	Τ		K	N	0	W		Н	0	W		S	Н	R	Ε	W	S	В	U	R	Y			
Ι	Ε	С	Ι	D	E	. D		Ι	Т		W	Α	S		R	E	V	0	K	Ε	D	,		Ι	F		Т	Н	Ε	Y		D	Ε	С	ΙΣ	ΕĽ
]	N		Т	Η	Ε	Ι	R		M	Ι	N	D	S		Α	Ţ		S	0	M	Ε		Ρ	0	Ι	N	Т		Ι	N		Т	Ι	M	E	ΙΊ
V	7 A	S		R	E	V	0	K	Ε	D				Τ	Н	E	Y		Α	С	Т	U	Α	L	L	Y		Η	A	V	Ε		Τ	0		
S	Ε	R	V	Ε		N	0	Т	Ι	С	Ε		0	N		Τ	H	E		D	Ε	F	Ε	N	D.	ΑÌ	N '	Γ		В	E	С	A	U	SE	
Τ	' Н	Ε	N		H	Ε		Η	Α	S		A		R	Ι	G	Η	Т		Т	0		Α	P	P:	E.	Α.	L		Т	0		Α			
Η	Ε	A	R	Ι	N	G		0	N		Т	H	Α	Τ		R	E	V	0	С	A	Т	Ι	0	N				Γ	H	Α	Т		W.	A S	
Ν	Е	V	E.	R		D	0	N	E	,		A	Т		L	Ē	Α	S	Т		-	-		Ι	]	Н	Α 7	<b>V</b> :	Ε		R	A	Ι	S:	ΕD	
Τ	Н	Ι	S		Ι	N	1	Τ.	H	E		W.	Ε	S	Τ	В	0	R	0		D	Ι	S	T I	R :	Ι	2:	Γ	•							

THE COURT: LET ME ASK MR. BALL,

DO YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION, SIR, AS TO

WHETHER OR NOT THE GENTLEMAN WAS

NOTIFIED OR ATTEMPTED TO BE NOTIFIED OF

IRREVOCATION OF HIS LICENSE TO CARRY AND

HIS F.I.D?

MR. BALL: I DON'T KNOW THAT. ALL
I KNOW IS THE INFORMATION FROM THE
SHREWSBURY POLICE DEPARTMENT, YOUR
HONOR, THAT HIS LICENSE TO CARRY
FIREARMS WAS REVOKED.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

MR. BALL: I AM NOT SURE THE
RECORD REFLECTS THAT, BUT I THINK BACK
AT THE TRIAL, WHEN HE WAS CONVICTED, HE

5
6
7
8
9
10
1 1
1 2
1 3
1 4
1 5
16
1 7
18
19
2 0
2 1
<b>2</b> 2
23
2 4

1	WAS ASKED TO TURN IN THAT LICENSE. I
2	DON'T HAVE A RECORD OF THAT.
3	THE COURT: I HAVE NO MEMORY OF
4	THAT, SIR.
5	THE CLERK: I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S
6	ON THE PAPERS. THE ANSWER IS NO.
7	THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER,
8	GENTLEMEN?
9	MR. SHEKETOFF: YOUR HONOR, I
10	DON'T KNOW WHAT YOUR POSITION ON THIS
1 1	IS. IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT I DON'T
1 2	THINK THE COMMONWEALTH EVEN HAS A PRIMA
1 3	FACIE CASE. HE DID HAVE A LICENSE.
1 4	IT'S IN HIS POSSESSION. I HAVE SEEN IT
1 5	WITH MY OWN EYES, SO I KNOW THAT IT
1 6	EXISTS. I LOOKED AT IT.
1 7	I MET WITH HIS WIFE THIS MORNING.
18	SHE GAVE ME THE NEWSPAPER ARTICLE AND
1 9	LET ME LOOK AT THE LICENSE. SO I KNOW
20	IT EXISTS. IT SEEMS TO ME IT WOULD BE
2 1	UNFAIR TO REVOKE HIS BAIL. UNLESS THE
<b>2</b> 2	COMMONWEALTH CAN MAKE A
2 3	THE COURT: I WOULD AGREE WITH
2 4	YOU, MR. SHEKETOFF. CERTAINLY IF WE

WERE TRYING THE CASE AT THIS POINT, THE COMMONWEALTH'S CASE WOULD BE INADEQUATE, BUT THIS IS A LITTLE DIFFERENT STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS.

WHAT I AM GOING TO DO IS I AM

GOING TO VACATE THE STAY OF EXECUTION IN

THE MAYHEM CASE. YOU MAY, OF COURSE, IF

IT TURNS OUT IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF

MONTHS OR WEEKS OR WHATEVER THAT THERE

IS AN INDICATION THAT HE INDEED WAS NOT

IN VIOLATION OF LAW IN TERMS OF

POSSESSING THOSE PARTICULAR FIREARMS,

YOU MAY ADDRESS THE COURT AGAIN ON

REINSTITUTING THE STAY.

BUT AT THIS POINT, BASED UPON

REPRESENTATION BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

THAT THE LOCAL POLICE HAVE REVOKED HIS

LICENSE AND HIS F.I.D. CARD, WHATEVER IT

IS HE HAS TO HAVE, I AM GOING TO VACATE

THE STAY OF EXECUTION ON THE OTHER

SENTENCE, AND THE GENTLEMAN WOULD BE

REMANDED TO SERVE A SENTENCE. BUT I

WILL HEAR YOU AGAIN IF SOMETHING ELSE

COMES UP.

1-800-255-50	
GROUP	
CORBY	
THE	

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
1 1
12
13
1 4
15
16
1 7
18
19
2 0
2 1
2 2
2 3
2 4

#### ANYTHING FURTHER?

MR. SHEKETOFF: YOUR HONOR, WOULD YOU CONSIDER KEEPING A VERY HIGH BAIL ON THIS, AS OPPOSED TO REVOKING --

THE COURT: NO, SIR.

MR. SHEKETOFF: WHAT ELSE CAN I SAY, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND, SIR. YOU MAY BE HEARD AGAIN, IF THE CIRCUMSTANCES CHANGE.

MR. SHEKETOFF: THE PROBLEM IS, YOUR HONOR, MY EXPERIENCE WITH THE WORCESTER DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, MY MOST VERY RECENT EXPERIENCE, THEY HAVE ALREADY ASKED FOR AN EXTENSION ON THE BRIEF. AS YOUR HONOR ORDERED, WE FILED OUR BRIEF IN A TIMELY FASHION. THEY HAVE ALREADY ASKED FOR ONE EXTENSION. I AM SURE NOW THAT HE IS GOING TO BE LOCKED UP, THEY ARE GOING TO BE ASKING FOR SUBSEQUENT EXTENSIONS.

THE COURT: THEY MAY PROCEED PROMPTLY. I AM NOT SURE THAT'S IN FRONT OF ME AT THE MOMENT. ALL I AM TELLING

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
l	4	
l	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	

2

YOU, SIR, IS IF THAT LICENSE

SITUATION -- AND I AM TRYING NOT TO

SHIFT THE BURDEN OF PROOF HERE, BUT IF

THAT LICENSE SITUATION DOES APPEAR TO BE

MORE FAVORABLY INCLINED TOWARDS YOUR

CLIENT THAN IT APPEARS AT THE MOMENT, I

WILL HEAR YOU AGAIN ON THE NARROW

QUESTION OF WHETHER THE STAY OUGHT TO BE

REVIVED.

THANK YOU, SIR.

THE CLERK: THE STAY OF EXECUTION

IS HERE BY REVOKED. THE DEFENDANT IS

SENTENCED TO M.C.I. CONCORD FOR A PERIOD

OF TEN YEARS, CREDIT FOR THE SUM OF

THREE DAYS.

THIS HEARING IS CONCLUDE.

MR. SHEKETOFF: YOUR HONOR, IT'S
MY UNDERSTANDING THAT HE IS STILL HELD
IN THE WORCESTER COUNTY HOUSE OF
CORRECTION ON THE FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS
BAIL AND THAT THAT IS FIRST IN LINE.

THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW THAT IT

IS FIRST IN LINE. I DON'T KNOW THAT IT

IS FIRST IN LINE, SIR.

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
1 1
1 2
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2 2
23
2 4

1 MR. SHEKETOFF: THAT'S WHAT I AM 2 ASKING. 3 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW. I CONFESS IGNORANCE. ALL I KNOW IS THAT HE IS SERVING MY SENTENCE RIGHT NOW. FOR WHAT IT'S WORTH, HE IS CERTAINLY GETTING CREDIT FROM THIS DAY ON. THE CLERK: THIS HEARING IS CONCLUDED. (THE HEARING THEN SUSPENDED AT 12:25 P.M.)

540		
1-800-255-5040		
25.		
8		
÷		
5		
гне совву спопр		
Ī		
ģ		
Ö		
Щ		
_		

1 1

2 1

I, JANE ELIZABETH ESPOSITO,

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE EVIDENCE IN THE CASE OF COMMONWEALTH VERSUS MICHAEL ELBERY, TAKEN BEFORE THE HONORABLE DANIEL F. TOOMEY, WORCESTER SUPERIOR COURT, WORCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS, ON AUGUST 10, 1994, IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF MY STENOGRAPHIC NOTES TO THE BEST OF MY SKILL, KNOWLEDGE AND ABILITY.

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER